POLITICAL IMAGE BUILDING THROUGH EUPHEMISMS: STRATEGIES IN ENGLISH POLITICAL COMMUNICATION

G. Karimova [1], D. Boltayeva [2]

Abstract:
This article investigates the use of euphemisms in English political communication as a strategy for image building. Euphemisms, which soften the delivery of controversial or unpleasant information, play a crucial role in shaping a positive public image for politicians. By examining key examples from political discourse, we explore how political figures utilize language to manage public perception, avoid controversy, and convey complex ideas without alienating their audience. The analysis highlights both historical and contemporary examples, drawing from speeches and public statements, while evaluating the effectiveness of these linguistic strategies in sustaining political power.

Key words: Euphemisms, political communication, image building, language strategies, public perception.

In politics, language serves as a powerful tool not just for conveying ideas but also for managing public perception. Politicians often resort to euphemisms—mild or indirect expressions used to replace terms considered too harsh or unpleasant—as part of a broader strategy to maintain their public image. This article explores how euphemisms are used in English political communication to soften the impact of controversial policies, avoid backlash, and craft a favorable image in the public eye.

The use of euphemisms in political communication is not new; it has historical roots and continues to evolve. For instance, George Orwell in Politics and the English Language (1946) famously critiqued political language, claiming that it is "designed to make lies sound truthful and murder respectable, and to give an appearance of solidity to pure wind." This sentiment underscores how euphemisms often cloak the harsh realities of governance, war, or social issues.

Euphemisms in politics serve several purposes, but their primary function is to reshape public perception. By using less direct language, politicians can frame their actions in a more positive or neutral light, thus avoiding the negative connotations that might accompany a more straightforward approach. Euphemisms allow leaders to gloss over controversial topics, maintain public support, and prevent opposition from gaining traction.

War and Military Actions: One of the most common areas where euphemisms are employed is in discussions of war and military conflict. Politicians often refer to military interventions as "peacekeeping operations" or "strategic responses" instead of acknowledging them as wars or invasions. For example, the U.S. government referred to the Iraq War as an "Operation Iraqi Freedom," highlighting the liberation aspect while downplaying the violence and destruction inherent in the conflict.

Economic Policy: Euphemisms are frequently used when discussing economic policies, particularly those that may negatively impact certain groups. Terms like "revenue enhancement" are used instead of "tax increase," and "downsizing" or "rightsizing" instead

[1] *Karimova Gulnavoz Avazjon qizi, Teacher at Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages*
[2] *Boltayeva Durdona Baxtiyorovna, Student of Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages*

of "firing" or "layoffs." These softer terms are intended to reduce public anxiety and opposition. The 2008 financial crisis offers numerous examples of euphemisms: financial institutions used terms like "credit crunch" instead of "financial collapse" to mitigate fear.

Immigration and Social Policy: In discussions of immigration, euphemisms help politicians address sensitive issues without alienating potential voters. Terms like "undocumented worker" replace "illegal immigrant," thus removing the criminal implication and focusing instead on the administrative aspect of the issue. Similarly, in discussions of social welfare, phrases such as "social safety net" or "entitlement reform" are used to discuss cuts in public spending, avoiding the harsher reality of reducing aid to vulnerable populations.

Euphemisms in Brexit

The Brexit campaign provides a contemporary example of how euphemisms have been strategically employed to influence public opinion. During the debates surrounding Brexit, both sides of the political spectrum used euphemisms to frame the conversation in ways that supported their agendas. Pro-Brexit politicians often referred to the decision to leave the European Union as "taking back control" rather than addressing the economic risks associated with severing ties with the EU. This phrasing implied that Britain had lost sovereignty and autonomy, thus galvanizing nationalist sentiments without addressing the complexities of international trade or diplomacy.

On the other hand, anti-Brexit campaigners used the term "soft Brexit" to describe a scenario where the UK would maintain close ties with the EU while leaving. This euphemism downplayed the potential economic disruption while still appealing to voters who supported leaving the EU but were wary of the potential fallout.

Euphemisms in political language are highly effective because they allow politicians to communicate difficult messages without triggering a strong emotional response. When used strategically, euphemisms enable leaders to maintain a positive public image, build consensus, and avoid controversy. However, overreliance on euphemistic language can also backfire, leading to accusations of dishonesty or manipulation.

For instance, the use of euphemisms during the Vietnam War—such as referring to the conflict as a "police action" or a "conflict"—initially helped to downplay the gravity of the situation. However, as the war dragged on and the human and financial costs became apparent, these euphemisms were seen as disingenuous, contributing to public distrust in the government. Similarly, during the COVID-19 pandemic, political leaders who downplayed the severity of the crisis through euphemistic language—such as referring to lockdowns as "pauses" or "adjustments"—faced significant backlash as the situation worsened.

Euphemisms are a key linguistic tool in political communication, allowing politicians to shape their public image by softening the delivery of controversial or complex ideas. From military interventions to economic policies and social issues, euphemisms help to control public perception and minimize backlash. While these linguistic strategies can be effective in the short term, overuse or misuse can lead to accusations of dishonesty and a loss of public trust. As Orwell pointed out, political language often serves to obscure reality, and it is crucial for both politicians and the public to critically examine the words being used to shape political discourse.

References:

[1]. Orwell, G. (1946). Politics and the English Language. Horizon.
[2]. Chilton, P., & Schäffner, C. (2002). Politics as Text and Talk: Analytic Approaches to Political Discourse. John Benjamins Publishing.
[3]. Fairclough, N. (2013). Language and Power (3rd ed.). Routledge.

[4].   *Lakoff, G. (1990). Don't Think of an Elephant: Know Your Values and Frame the Debate. Chelsea Green Publishing.*

[5].   *Karimova, G. (2024, April). Essence and properties of euphemism from perspective of cognitive lisguistics. In Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit (pp. 398-400).*