

POLITICAL CORRECTNESS AND EUPHEMISMS: LINGUISTIC MECHANISMS IN CONTEMPORARY ENGLISH

G. Karimova¹, K. Saloydinova²

Abstract:

This article examines the influence of political correctness on the creation and use of euphemisms in contemporary English, exploring how political correctness has shaped the semantic characteristics of these linguistic tools. By analyzing the impact of politically correct language on euphemisms, we observe how both concepts intersect in attempts to reduce offense, maintain inclusivity, and reflect changing social values. Examples from social, political, and corporate discourse are provided to demonstrate how euphemisms shaped by political correctness contribute to the evolution of language and social norms.

Key words: Political correctness, euphemisms, linguistic mechanisms, contemporary English, language change, social values.

doi: <https://doi.org/10.2024/3yc8wm6o>

Political correctness has become a key force in shaping contemporary English, influencing not only social and political discourse but also the way language is used to navigate sensitive issues. One of the most notable linguistic mechanisms associated with political correctness is the use of euphemisms. Euphemisms allow speakers to discuss potentially offensive or controversial topics in a way that minimizes harm or offense, aligning with the goals of political correctness. As social values shift and inclusivity becomes a more prominent concern in public discourse, the creation of new euphemisms and the adaptation of existing ones are essential in maintaining socially acceptable communication.

This article explores how the trend of political correctness has impacted the creation and semantic characteristics of political euphemisms, demonstrating the significant role these linguistic tools play in shaping modern communication. By examining key examples from social, political, and corporate discourse, we highlight the intersection of political correctness and euphemistic language and consider how these mechanisms contribute to the evolution of contemporary English.

Political correctness (PC) refers to the effort to avoid language or actions that could exclude, marginalize, or offend individuals based on race, gender, sexuality, or other identities. This movement, which gained prominence in the late 20th century, reflects a broader cultural shift toward inclusivity, equity, and respect for diversity. As political correctness has permeated public discourse, it has inevitably influenced the language used to discuss controversial or sensitive issues.

One of the primary ways political correctness manifests in language is through the use of euphemisms—terms or expressions that soften or neutralize potentially offensive concepts. While euphemisms have always been part of political communication, political correctness has driven the development of new euphemisms designed to align with evolving

¹ Karimova Gulnavoz Avazjon qizi, Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages, teacher of the department of English theory and practice

² Saloydinova Kumush Baxtiyor qizi, student at Samarkand State Institute of Foreign Languages

social norms. These euphemisms serve not only to avoid causing offense but also to frame social and political issues in a way that reflects inclusive values.

Euphemisms and political correctness: Key areas of influence

Race and ethnicity: One of the most prominent areas where political correctness has influenced euphemistic language is in discussions of race and ethnicity. Historically, terms used to describe racial and ethnic minorities often carried negative connotations or were rooted in discriminatory practices. As society became more sensitive to issues of race and identity, new euphemisms emerged to reflect a more respectful and inclusive discourse.

For example, terms such as "people of color" have replaced older, more divisive labels to highlight the shared experiences of non-white individuals without focusing on one specific racial group. Similarly, terms like "African American" and "Latinx" are preferred over outdated or offensive alternatives, aligning with the values of political correctness by emphasizing identity and agency.

Gender and sexuality: Political correctness has also played a significant role in shaping euphemisms related to gender and sexuality. The evolving understanding of gender as a spectrum, rather than a binary concept, has led to the creation of new euphemistic terms designed to be more inclusive of all gender identities. For instance, the term "non-binary" is used to describe individuals who do not identify strictly as male or female, reflecting a shift toward more inclusive language.

Disability and health: Political correctness has significantly influenced how we discuss disability and health-related issues. The traditional medical or clinical terms used to describe disabilities often carried stigmatizing connotations, and new euphemisms were developed to emphasize dignity and respect. For example, the term "differently-abled" emerged as a politically correct alternative to "disabled" or "handicapped," highlighting the abilities of individuals rather than their limitations. Similarly, the language surrounding mental health has evolved, with euphemisms like "mental health condition" or "behavioral health challenges" replacing older, more stigmatizing terms like "mental illness" or "insanity." These shifts in language reflect broader societal efforts to reduce stigma and promote a more compassionate understanding of health-related issues.

Social and economic issues: Euphemisms shaped by political correctness are also prevalent in discussions of social and economic policies. For instance, terms like "economically disadvantaged" or "low-income households" are preferred over harsher alternatives such as "the poor" or "the underclass," reflecting a more respectful and inclusive approach to addressing economic inequality.

In the corporate world, political correctness has led to the adoption of euphemistic terms designed to soften the impact of negative actions. For example, corporations often use the term "right-sizing" or "workforce adjustment" instead of "layoffs" or "firing." These euphemisms align with politically correct values by framing business decisions in less harmful, more neutral terms.

Semantic characteristics of politically correct euphemisms

Euphemisms driven by political correctness share several key semantic characteristics. One of the most notable features is their emphasis on neutral or positive framing. Politically correct euphemisms are designed to avoid negative connotations, often by shifting focus away from the subject's perceived deficiencies or vulnerabilities and toward more neutral or empowering language. This approach reflects the broader goals of political correctness, which seeks to promote inclusivity and reduce harm.

Another characteristic of politically correct euphemisms is their tendency toward abstraction. By using more abstract or generalized terms, these euphemisms create distance between the speaker and the potentially offensive subject matter. For instance, the term "collateral damage" in military discourse abstracts the reality of civilian casualties, making the concept more palatable and less emotionally charged. Furthermore, politically correct

euphemisms often employ broad, inclusive language that avoids labeling individuals based on specific characteristics. This is evident in terms like "diverse communities" or "marginalized groups," which emphasize shared experiences and challenges rather than focusing on any one particular identity.

The use of politically correct euphemisms has had a profound impact on contemporary political and social discourse. While these euphemisms serve to reduce offense and promote inclusive values, they have also sparked debates about the limits of political correctness and its effects on free speech. Critics argue that political correctness, and by extension, politically correct euphemisms, can stifle honest dialogue by prioritizing language that avoids conflict or discomfort over language that reflects the complexity of real-world issues. Supporters, however, contend that politically correct euphemisms are necessary for fostering a more inclusive and respectful society. By avoiding harmful or offensive language, these euphemisms help to create a discourse that acknowledges the dignity and humanity of all individuals, regardless of their race, gender, or socioeconomic status.

Political correctness has significantly influenced the development and use of euphemisms in contemporary English, shaping the way we discuss sensitive social, political, and economic issues. The creation of politically correct euphemisms reflects a broader cultural shift toward inclusivity, respect, and the avoidance of harm in public discourse. These euphemisms play a critical role in managing the language of contemporary politics and social interactions, allowing speakers to navigate complex or controversial topics while adhering to the values of respect and inclusivity. As society continues to evolve, the language of political correctness will likely remain a central force in shaping public discourse. Understanding the linguistic mechanisms that underlie politically correct euphemisms provides valuable insight into how language both reflects and shapes social values.

References:

- [1]. Karimova, G. (2024, April). *Essence and properties of euphemism from perspective of cognitive linguistics*. In *Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research Spirit* (pp. 398-400).
- [2]. Lakoff, G. (2004). *Don't Think of an Elephant: Know Your Values and Frame the Debate*. Chelsea Green Publishing.
- [3]. Latipov A. *The framework of the theory of "development of the second language acquisition" // Интернаука. – 2020. – №. 19-4. – С. 21-23.*
- [4]. Fairclough, N. (2013). *Language and Power* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- [5]. Hughes, G. (2010). *Political Correctness: A History of Semantics and Culture*. Wiley-Blackwell.
- [6]. Allan, K., & Burridge, K. (2006). *Forbidden Words: Taboo and the Censoring of Language*. Cambridge University Press.
- [7]. Cameron, D. (2012). *Verbal Hygiene: The Politics of Language*. Routledge.