THE ROLE OF FORMATIVE ASSESSMENT IN LANGUAGE LEARNING: A CRITICAL REVIEW OF SELF-ASSESSMENT

Sh. Rustamova [1], E. Tadjibayeva [2]

Abstract:
This paper critically examines empirical research on the utility of self-assessment in foreign language education. It investigates the efficacy and reliability of self-assessment, as well as student perceptions thereof. Key issues explored include the correlation between self-assessment outcomes and standardized proficiency measures, the influence of specific self-assessment criteria, and the impact of affective variables. Findings suggest that self-assessment, when implemented with explicit criteria and structured practice, can serve as a valuable supplementary evaluation tool. However, its validity is contingent upon addressing potential biases and ensuring appropriate calibration.

Key words: Self-assessment, language learning, student perceptions, learner autonomy, motivation, affective factors, evaluation criteria.

Introduction

Self-assessment has emerged as a significant area of interest in language learning research, considered as both an alternative and a complement to traditional assessment methods. Early studies, such as that by LeBlanc and Painchaud (1985), indicated a positive relationship between self-assessed proficiency and standardized test performance. Self-assessment is often linked to enhanced learner autonomy, motivation, and self-regulated learning (Ross, 1998). However, the validity of self-assessment is subject to various moderating factors, including the nature of assessment criteria, learner experience, and psychological influences.

Self-Assessment as a Measure of Language Proficiency

Research has explored the extent to which self-assessment aligns with objective measures of language proficiency. Blanche and Merino (1989) found that self-assessment instruments employing "can-do" statements demonstrated effectiveness in gauging specific language skills. Studies suggest that self-assessments of speaking and writing skills exhibit higher correlations with standardized measures compared to more generalized self-evaluations. A meta-analysis by Ross (1998) further supports the notion that functional self-assessment formats can improve student engagement and accuracy.

Influence of Criteria and Experience on Self-Assessment Accuracy

The specificity and transparency of self-assessment criteria are critical determinants of its validity. Ross (1998) posited that focusing on functional skills, rather than abstract proficiency levels, enhances both student participation and evaluative accuracy. Bachman and Palmer (1989) noted a tendency among second language learners to overestimate their abilities, particularly in challenging areas, indicating a need for calibrated self-assessment protocols. Smith's (1997) research among EFL learners in Israel revealed that students often prioritize their own evaluations over teacher-assigned grades, even when presented with summative exam results. Longitudinal studies, such as that by Andrade and Du (2007), suggest that repeated practice with clear criteria can foster more positive attitudes toward self-assessment and improve grading consistency.

Cognitive and Affective Factors Affecting Self-Assessment

Psychological factors play a significant role in self-assessment accuracy. Research indicates that high-proficiency learners may underestimate their abilities, while lower-proficiency learners tend to overestimate their performance (Blanche & Merino, 1989; Heilenman, 1990; Janssen-van Dieten, 1989; Oscarson, 1984). Taras (2001) found that university students often rate their performance lower than their instructors, suggesting a tendency toward self-doubt among high-achieving individuals. Oscarson (2006) reported a moderate correlation ($r = 0.67$) between self-assessment and final grades in Swedish national assessment data, with the highest accuracy observed among students who passed their language proficiency exams.

Motivational and Pedagogical Implications

[1] *Rustamova Shahnoza Aripovna, Senior teacher, SamSIFL, Samarkand, Uzbekistan*

[2] *Tadjibayeva Elmira Rahimjanovna, Senior teacher, Karaganda Buketov University, Kazakhstan*

Self-assessment has the potential to enhance learner motivation and engagement. Studies by Blanche and Merino (1989) and von Elek (1981, 1985) reported increased motivation among students using self-assessment tools. MacIntyre, Noels, and Clément (1997) found that self-confidence significantly influences self-assessment accuracy, with low-confidence learners underestimating their abilities. Oscarson (1998) emphasized the role of self-assessment in promoting self-regulated learning and learner autonomy. The integration of "can-do" statements in the Common European Framework of Reference for Languages (CEFR) underscores the importance of self-assessment in language learning and evaluation (Oscarson, 1999).

Conclusion

Self-assessment represents a valuable but complex tool in language education. Its reliability hinges on clear criteria, structured practice, and learner experience. Discrepancies between self-assessment and objective measures highlight the need for calibration through guided practice. Integrating self-assessment into language curricula can foster learner motivation, self-regulation, and awareness of personal learning progress. Future research should prioritize refining self-assessment methodologies to enhance accuracy and pedagogical effectiveness.

References:

[1]. *Crucial Specific Features of Self-Assessment and Self-Regulation in English Language Teaching Classes SA Rustamova - Pindus Journal of Culture, Literature, and ELT, 2023*

[2]. *Peculiarities of Competence Formation In students' self-assessment while teaching L2 F. Ruzikulov, S. Rustamova - Conference Proceedings: Fostering Your Research …, 2024*

[3]. *Andrade, H., & Du, Y. (2007). Student responses to criteria-referenced self-assessment. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 32(2), 159-181.*

[4]. *Bachman, L., & Palmer, A. (1989). The construct validation of self-ratings of communicative language ability. Language Testing, 6(1), 14-29.*

[5]. *Blanche, P., & Merino, B. J. (1989). Self-assessment of foreign language skills: Implications for teachers and researchers. Language Learning, 39(3), 313-338.*

[6]. *Heilenman, L. K. (1990). Self-assessment and learner autonomy. The Modern Language Journal, 74(1), 48-57.*

[7]. *LeBlanc, R., & Painchaud, G. (1985). Self-assessment as a second language placement instrument. TESOL Quarterly, 19(4), 673-687.*

[8]. *MacIntyre, P. D., Noels, K. A., & Clément, R. (1997). Biases in self-ratings of second language proficiency. Language Learning, 47(2), 265-287.*

[9]. *Oscarson, M. (1998). Self-assessment of language proficiency: Rationale and applications. Language Testing, 15(2), 135-146.*

[10]. *Ross, S. (1998). Self-assessment in second language testing: A meta-analysis and analysis of experimental factors. Language Testing, 15(1), 1-20.*

[11]. *Smith, K. (1997). Self-assessment in EFL: Student perspectives. English for Specific Purposes, 16(2), 143-157.*

[12]. *Taras, M. (2001). The use of tutor feedback and student self-assessment in summative assessment tasks: Towards transparency for students and tutors. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 26(6), 605-614.*