

THE EVOLVING ROLE OF TASK-BASED LEARNING

*M. Ekhsonzoda*¹, *M. Nasimova*²

Abstract:

The traditional language teaching method is typically characterized by a strong emphasis on explicit grammar and vocabulary instruction. However, this approach can be enhanced by incorporating more task-based, communicative elements. This paper presents several examples of tasks that can be used within a traditional language classroom to foster more authentic and meaningful language use. The examples provided in this paper demonstrate how traditional language teaching approaches can be supplemented with task-based components to enhance learners' communicative competence and engagement.

Key words: language teaching methods, traditional approach, task-based instruction, communicative language teaching, information gap activities, jigsaw tasks, problem-solving tasks, role plays and simulations, information exchange, communicative competence.

doi: <https://doi.org/10.2024/5802zf34>

As language teaching methodologies have progressed over the years, a clear divide has emerged between more traditional, teacher-centered approaches and the rise of student-centered, communicative frameworks like task-based learning (TBL). While both have their merits, understanding the key differences between these divergent philosophies is critical for educators looking to optimize their instructional practices. At the heart of this distinction lies a fundamental shift in perspective - from an emphasis on explicit grammar instruction and controlled practice to a focus on using language authentically to accomplish meaningful, real-world tasks. As the field has evolved, task-based learning has gained prominence as a means of enhancing student motivation, developing fluency, and providing opportunities to apply language skills in context.

However, the transition from a traditional, teacher-directed style to the facilitative role required in TBL is not without its challenges. Many educators struggle to effectively implement this dynamic approach within their classrooms. To unlock the full potential of task-based learning, it is essential to understand its core principles and how they differ from the standard presentation-practice-production (PPP) model. In the sections that follow, we will conduct an in-depth comparison of task-based learning and the traditional teaching method. By examining the distinct approaches to lesson structure, the teacher's role, and the emphasis placed on accuracy versus fluency, we will equip you with the knowledge needed to thoughtfully incorporate task-based learning into your language curriculum.

Task-Based Learning (TBL)

- Student-focused approach that structures lessons around the completion of meaningful, real-world tasks;

¹ *Ekhsonzoda Malokhat Ekhsan, Candidate of Philological Sciences, Associate Professor at the Khujand State University*

² *Muattar Nasimova, PhD student, Samarkand State University*

- Emphasizes using language communicatively to achieve a specific objective;
- Lesson format follows a 3-stage cycle: pre-task preparation, task performance, and language focus;
- Teacher acts as a facilitator, monitoring student work and providing guidance as needed;
- Prioritizes fluency and authentic language use, addressing accuracy in the context of completing the task;

Some examples of tasks that can be used in a task-based learning (TBL) approach:

1. Information Gap Tasks

- Students work in pairs or small groups to exchange information and fill in missing details. For example:
 - One student has a map with certain landmarks labeled, while the other has a blank map. They must communicate to draw the missing landmarks on the blank map.
 - Students are given different pieces of information about an upcoming trip (e.g. flight times, hotel details, activities) and must work together to plan the itinerary.

2. Reasoning Gap Tasks

- Students are given incomplete information and must use reasoning and problem-solving skills to reach a conclusion. For example:
 - Analyzing a set of data or statistics and making inferences about a trend or pattern.
 - Reading accounts of an event from different perspectives and determining what likely happened.

3. Opinion Gap Tasks

- Students share and justify their own thoughts, opinions, and ideas on an issue. For example:
 - Discussing the pros and cons of a proposed policy change and reaching a consensus.
 - Debating the merits of different solutions to an environmental problem.

4. Creative Tasks

- Students use language to engage in imaginative, open-ended activities. For example:
 - Writing a short story or script around a given topic or prompt.
 - Designing a new product or service and creating a marketing pitch.

5. Real-World Simulations

- Students role-play scenarios that mirror authentic situations they may encounter. For example:
 - Conducting a job interview or customer service interaction.
 - Navigating situations while traveling abroad, such as ordering at a restaurant or asking for directions.

The key is that these tasks involve meaningful, communicative language use rather than just practicing language forms in isolation. The task provides the context and purpose for students to apply their language skills.

The Traditional Method

- More teacher-centered approach centered on the explicit instruction of language forms and grammatical rules;
- Typical lesson format follows a "Presentation-Practice-Production" (PPP) structure;
- Teacher plays a central, authoritative role, directly explaining and demonstrating language material;
- Greater emphasis on accuracy, with a focus on mastering grammar and vocabulary;
- Fluency is considered a secondary concern;

Some examples of tasks that can be used in a traditional language classroom:

Even though the traditional teaching method is generally characterized by a focus on direct instruction of grammar and vocabulary, there are still opportunities to incorporate more task-based elements. Here are some examples of the types of tasks that could be implemented within a traditional language learning framework:

1. Information Gap Activities

- Students work together in pairs or small groups to exchange information and complete a task, such as filling in missing details on a diagram or map.
- This requires authentic communication as learners share and obtain the necessary information.

2. Jigsaw Tasks

- Students are divided into "expert" groups to learn about a specific aspect of a topic.
- They then regroup and teach their peers the information they've learned, collaboratively assembling the complete picture.
- This encourages the use of language for the purpose of teaching and learning.

3. Problem-Solving Tasks

- Students are presented with a scenario or challenge that requires them to discuss options and reach a consensus on the best solution.
- This promotes the use of language to negotiate, persuade, and make decisions.

4. Role plays and Simulations

- Students act out real-world scenarios, such as ordering at a restaurant or booking a hotel reservation.
- This allows them to practice language in a contextualized, communicative manner.

5. Information Exchange Tasks

- Students interview each other or share personal information to complete a task, such as creating a profile or scheduling a meeting.
- The communicative nature of the task requires authentic language use.

While these examples incorporate more task-based, communicative elements, the traditional method would still maintain a stronger focus on explicit instruction and controlled practice of target language forms. The goal would be to gradually shift the balance towards more meaningful, task-oriented language use within the traditional framework.

The traditional language teaching method, with its emphasis on explicit grammar and vocabulary instruction, can be enhanced by incorporating more task-based, communicative elements. Examples of tasks that can be used within this framework include information gap activities, jigsaw tasks, problem-solving scenarios, roleplays/simulations, and information exchange exercises.

These task-based components require students to use the target language for genuine communicative purposes, such as sharing information, teaching each other, negotiating solutions, and engaging in real-world conversational exchanges.

While the traditional method maintains a focus on controlled practice of language forms, integrating these task-based elements aims to gradually shift the balance towards more meaningful, contextualized language use. This hybrid approach holds promise for improving learners' communicative competence within the constraints of the traditional classroom setting. In summary, task-based learning represents a shift towards a more communicative, learner-centered pedagogy, while the traditional method is characterized by direct teacher-led instruction with a primary focus on accuracy. Both approaches have their strengths and can be effective depending on the specific learning objectives and educational context.

References:

- [1]. Buyukkarci, K. (2009). *A critical analysis of task-based learning*. *Kastamonu Education Journal*, 17.
- [2]. Bachman, L. (2002). *Some reflections on task-based language performance assessment*. *Language Testing* 19, 453-476.
- [3]. Benevides, M., &Valvona, C. (2008). *Task-based language teaching*.
- [4]. Buronova N.D. *English language teacher competences in Uzbekistan*. //Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal. Vol 10. – 2022– Т. 11. – №. 2. – С. 384-386.
- [5]. Hong-qin, Y. U. (2007). *Application of TBT in Reading class*. *US-China Education Review*, 4(ISSN1548-6613).
- [6]. Kim, Y., Jung, Y., & Tracy-Ventura, N. (2017). *Implementation of a Localized TaskBased course in an EFL Context: A Study of Students' Evolving Perceptions*. *Tesol Quarterly*, 51
- [7]. Насимова М. *Communicative language teaching //Общество и инновации*. – 2022. – Т. 3. –№. 5/S. – С. 222-228.
- [8]. Nasimova M., Buranova N. *Task-Based Learning: A Practical Approach to Language Acquisition //Journal of Language Pedagogy and Innovative Applied Linguistics*. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 4. – С. 96-100
- [9]. Nunan (Eds.), *The Cambridge to teaching ro speakers of other languages* (pp. 173-79). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- [10]. Willis, J. (2004). *Perspectives on task-based instruction: Understanding our practices, acknowledging different practitioners*. In B. L. Leaver & J
- [11]. Willis (Eds.), *Task-based instruction in foreign language education: Practices and Programs* (pp. 3-44). Washington, D. C.:Georgetown University Press.
- [12]. Zayniddinova T. G., Khasanovna N. M. *The role of games in teaching English to young children //Новости образования: исследование в XXI веке*. – 2023. – Т. 1. – №. 11. – С. 1048-1050